



In an effort to facilitate the selection of appropriate peer reviewers for the *SA Journal of Industrial Psychology*, we ask that you take a moment to update your electronic portfolio on <https://sajip.co.za> for our files, allowing us better access to your areas of interest and expertise, in order to match reviewers with submitted manuscripts.

**If you would like to become a reviewer, please visit the journal website and register as a user. In order to be considered, please email [submissions@sajip.co.za](mailto:submissions@sajip.co.za) indicating your intention to register as a user for the journal.**

To access your details on the website, you will need to follow these steps:

1. Log into the online journal at <https://sajip.co.za>
2. In your 'user home' [<https://sajip.co.za/index.php/sajip/user>] select 'edit my profile' under the heading 'my account' and insert all relevant details, bio statement and reviewing interest(s).
3. It is good practice as a reviewer to update your personal details regularly to ensure contact with you throughout your professional term as reviewer to the *SA Journal of Industrial Psychology*.

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you require assistance in performing this task

Publisher:  
[publishing@aosis.co.za](mailto:publishing@aosis.co.za)  
 Tel: +27 21 975 2602

## Acknowledgement to reviewers

The editorial team of the *SA Journal of Industrial Psychology* recognises the value and importance of the peer reviewer in the overall publication process – not only in shaping the individual manuscript, but also in shaping the credibility and reputation of our journal. It is a job that involves a countless number of hours. Your contribution to science and our profession cannot be underestimated.

We are committed to the timely publication of all original, innovative contributions submitted for publication. As such, the identification and selection of reviewers who have expertise and interest in the topics appropriate to each manuscript are essential elements in ensuring a timely, productive peer review process.

We would like to take this opportunity to thank and recognise the following reviewers for their precious time and dedication, regardless of whether the papers they reviewed were finally published. We apologise for any names that have been inadvertently left out.

These individuals provided their services to the journal as a reviewer from 01 October 2022 to 30 September 2023.

Aden-Paul Flotman  
 Alda Deas  
 Amanda Werner  
 Ana M. Rocha  
 Brandon Morgan  
 Callie Theron  
 Cecile M. Schultz  
 Crystal Hoole  
 Debbie D. Mtshelwane  
 Doret Botha  
 Eileen F. Koekemoer  
 Elizabeth C. Nel  
 Elmari Fouche  
 Elrie Botha  
 Fiona M. Donald  
 Freda van der Walt  
 Freddie Crous  
 Gyanesh K. Tiwari  
 Hartmut Von Der Ohe  
 Ingrid L. Potgieter  
 Itumeleng M. Dzivhani  
 Jenny M. Venter  
 Jeremias J. De Klerk  
 Jérôme Rossier  
 Jessica Majiet  
 Karolina Łaba  
 Kate Cockcroft  
 Kleinjan Redelinghuys  
 Leoni van der Vaart

Letitia Van Der Merwe  
 Liezel Massyn  
 Lomé Koekemoer  
 Lourens van Schalkwyk  
 Magda L. Bezuidenhout  
 Mariette Coetzee  
 Melinde Coetzee  
 Melissa du Plessis  
 Monica Kirsten  
 Nadia Morton  
 Neo T. Pule  
 Nhamo Mashavira  
 Nicola Vermooten  
 Nisha Harry  
 Olga Coetzee  
 Petrus Nel  
 Pfungwa Dhliwayo  
 Renier Steyn  
 Roslyn T. De Braine  
 Sadika Ismail  
 Sanet C. Van Der Westhuizen  
 Tinashe T. Harry  
 Tom Ryan  
 Tracy McOwen  
 Tri P. Titis  
 Tshegofatso Mabitsela  
 Werner Barkhuizen  
 Willie T. Chinyamurindi  
 Xander van Lill